

UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,397	10/1	6/2001	Daniel Schubart	AXM-004.1 US	6812
	590	07/21/2003			
Leon R. Yank YANKWICH &	wich, Esq]. ATEC		EXAM	INER
201 Broadway		AIES	australia de la colonia de En la colonia de la colonia	SALIMI, A	LI REZA
Cambridge, MA	A 02139			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	CaspU) aur	1648	
			JUL 23 2003	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	
	•		21:03		
		Yankı	wich & Associates		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/981,397

. F cant(s)

Schubart et al

Examiner

A. R. SALMI

Art Unit **1648**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this computation.	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	time so. Principality, 57011 it lettery 1100, 11104 100,000 unit
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 9, 20	203
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 2-19 and 22-28	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) U Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 20, and 21</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
	cuments have been received in this National Stage u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for demectic a	certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	application has been received.
Attachment(s)	norty under 35 U.S.C. 33 12U and/or 121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) UNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Other:

Notice of Reference Cited

Application/Control No. 09/981,397

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexam

Schubart et al

Examiner

A. R. SALMI

Art Unit 1648

Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY ¹	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Name	C	lassification ²
A	1	4/2001	Baichwal et al	530	350
В					
С		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
D					
E					
F					
G					
н					
1					
١	·				
K					
L					
M					

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

_	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY¹	Country	Name	Clas	sification ²
N	WO 00/011218 A1	3/2000	wo	Gingeras et al	T	
0						
Р						
a					++	
R						
s					_	
T					++	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

ł		include as applicable. And, and
	U	Include, as applicable: Author, Title, Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages Zhu et al , PNAS USA, 1998, Vol. 95, pp. 14470-14475.
\vdash	-	
	V	
	w	
	x	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. See MPEP § 707.05(a).

¹ Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates.

² Classifications may be U.S. or foreign.

	•		
Modified FORM PTO-1449	ATTY. DOCKET AXM-004.1 . 3	SERIAL NO. 09/ 981,397	
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS BOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	APPLICANT Schubart et al.		
(Use several sheets if necessary)	FILING DATE October 16, 2001	RECEIVED	
		JUL # U CUUL	

REFERENC	E DES	IGNATION (J.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENT	s TE	CH CENTER	1600/2900
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
A.S.	AA	US 5,242,974	9/7/93	Holmes			
-	AB	US 5,384,261	1/24/95	Winkler et al.			-
	AC	US 5,405,783	4/11/95	Pirrung et al.			
	AD	US 5,412,087	5/2/95	McGall et al.			
	AE	US 5,424,186	6/13/95	Fodor et al.			
İ	AF	US 5,429,807	7/4/95	Matson et al.			
	AG	US 5,436,327	7/25/95	Southern et al.			
	AH	US 5,445,934	8/29/95	Fodor et al.			
	Al	US 5,472,672	12/5/95	Brennan			
	AJ	US 5,527,681	6/18/96	Holmes			
l	AK	US 5,529,756	6/25/96	Brennan			
	AL	US 5,545,531	8/13/96	Rava et al.			
	AM	US 5,554,501	9/10/96	Coassin et al.			
	AN	US 5,556,752	9/17/96	Lockhart et al.			
	AO	US 5,561,071	10/1/96	Hollenberg et al.			
	AP	US 5,599,895	2/4/97	Heider	·		
	AQ	US 5,624,711	4/29/97	Sundberg et al.			
	AR	US 5,639,603	6/17/97	Dower et al.			
	AS	US 5,658,734	8/19/97	Brock et al.			
1,	AT	US 5,807,522	9/15/98	Brown et al.			
<u> </u>	AU	US 6,087,102	7/11/00	Chenchik et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSI YES	ATION ON
<u>A</u>	AV	JP 11189529 A	7/13/99	Toray Ind. Inc.				
B	AW	JP 11124368 A	5/11/99	Takeda Chem. Ind. Ltd.				
EXAMINER A W				DATE CONSIL	DERED	7/7/0	3	

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP §609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

sheet _1_ of _3_

(g:\form\pto1449.frm)

		Survey 1
Jified FORM PTO-1449	ATTY. DOCKET .	SERIAL NO.
JUL 2 3 2002 H	AXM-004.1 3	09/981,397
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	APPLICANT Schubart et	t al.
(Use several sheets if necessary)	FILING DATE October 16, 2001	1646 JUL 2 5 2002

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS (cont'd)

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

1			DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSI YES	ATION ON
	A	AX	JP 9183764 A	7/15/97	Morishita				
•	4				Roussel KK				
	\bot	AY	WO 93/17126	9/2/93	Chetverin et al.				
		AZ	WO 95/11995	5/4/95	Chee et al.				
		BA	WO 95/35505	12/28/95	Shalon et al			-	
		BB	EP 742 287	11/13/96	McGall et al.		1		
	<u> </u>	BC	EP 799 897	10/8/97	Morris et al.				·
		BD	WO 97/02039	1/23/97	Rossi et al.				
$\perp \perp$		BE	WO 98/48836	11/5/98	Guy et al.				
		BF	WO 99/32463	6/1/99	Miller et al.				
	/	BG	WO 99/55335	11/4/99	Arnold et al.		· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u> </u>		ВН	WO 99/65513	12/23/99	Chowers et al.				

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

M	BI	Bell et al., British J. Cancer, 77:1852-1856 (199	98)	4 4900, 210.,			
	BJ	Bhagwat et al., <i>DDT</i> , 4:472-479 (1999)					
	ВК	Blank et al., <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> , 27:5361-5368 (1996)					
	BL Goekjian, et al., Curr. Med. Chem., 6:877-903 (1999)						
	ВМ	Goldenberg, Clinical Therapeutics, 21:309-318	Goldenberg, Clinical Therapeutics, 21:309-318 (1999)				
	BN Hughes et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, 87:6728-7-6732 (1990)						
EXAMINEI	R Ay	DATE CO	ONSIDERED	7/7/03			

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP §609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

sheet _2_ of _3_

Modified FORM PTO-1449	JUL 2 3 2002 J	ATTY. DOCKET . AXM-004. IS	SERIAL NO. 09/981,397
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICA APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DIS STATEMENT	TION FOR ME	APPLICANT Schubart et	tal. RECEIVED
(Use several sheets if nec	essary)	FILING DATE October 16, 2001	GROUP 1646 2 5 2002

OTHER ART (Including Author Title Date Partinent Pages 55%)

		OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)
B	ВО	Keates et al., J. Immunol., 163:5552-5559 (1999);
	BP	Kuroyanagi et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 242:357-364 (1998);
	BQ	Marshall, Science, 286:444-447 (1999);
	BR	Mitamura et al., J. Biol. Chem., 270:1015-1019 (1995);
	BS	Naumann et al., J. Biol. Chem., 274:31655-31662 (1999);
	ВТ	Prenzel et al., Nature, 402:884-888 (1999)
	BU	Raingeaud et al., Mol. Cell. Biol., 16:1247-1255 (1996);
	BV	Revel et al., Drugs of the Future, 23:751-766 (1998);
	BW	Service, Science, 289:1673;
	BY	Tarnawski et al., J. Clin. Gastroenterol., 27(Suppl. 1):S12-S20 (1998);
	BZ	Traxler et al., Drugs of the Future, 20:1281-1274 (1995);
	CA	Wang et al., J. Cell. Biol., 140:737-750 (1998);
	СВ	Wang et al., Genomics, 57:310-315 (1999);
V	СС	Wojtowitz-Praga et al., Investig. New Drugs, 15:61-75 (1997);
AS	CD	Wysk et al., PNAS USA, 96:3763-3768 (1999)
	CE	
	CE	
-	CF	
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED 7/7/03

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609.

Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant

sheet _3_ of _3_

Application/Control Number: 09/981,397

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1, 20, 21 within the scope of SEQ ID NO: 16) in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the embodiments of the invention share common features, and fractionation of the claims would lead to repetitive examination and undue expense. In addition, applicants argue that no undue search would be required because the groups are classified in one class i.e. 435. Applicants further argue the claims of Groups I-III, V and VI would reveal the same art. This is not found persuasive because, applicants provide no evidence that indicates the search would reveal the same art. Group I is directed to identifying agents, Group II is directed to detection of cytomegalovirus, etc..., detecting cytomegalovirus infection and agents are vastly different one from the other. In addition, classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. Still further, the subclass within the classified group are vast. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not co-extensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden search. The various kinases have different structures they are distinct one from the other. Applicants do not present argument to the contrary. Clearly different searches and issues are involved in the examination of each group. As for the assertion regarding undue expense, applicants are reminded that the expense is a business decision and has no bearing on the burdensome search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 2-19, 22-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Groups, Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 15. Applicants are reminded that the elected claims 1, 20, and 21 have been examined only within the elected SEQ ID NO: 16, RIP kinase

Applicants are reminded to cancel the claims to the non elected claims.

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)).

Please note the claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and do not conform with current U.S. practice. The correction is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite for recitation of "associated diseases", what are the associated diseases? Is migraine an intended associated disease? In addition, the claim is vague and indefinite for recitation of "change in activity" this is a relative terminology, what applicants deem to be a change might not be a change. How is the "change" determined, visually, or chemically? Moreover, is the increase in activity or decrease in activity of the kinases that determines a compound as a viable candidate for treating or preventing cytomegalovirus infection? How much change is to be deemed as a base for determining whether or not a compound is useful? Still further, the claim is very confusing, because the method does not set forth any step(s) for how the measuring is/are determined, and sufficient steps that would allow the practice of the claimed invention. There are so many variables present that one of skill in the art would not know what to add, when to add, what to measure, and when to measure? Shouldn't there be a control of some sort present? Is the kinase within the cell or is the kinase sequence by itself being utilized? Is the compound measuring changes in infected cells or noninfected cells? Is the compound as such that binds to the kinase or inhibits it expression or what is the compound suppose to do? How does change of activity relate to treating or preventing

cytomegalovirus? Are the kinase gene the intended targets or its protein? Are the kinases down regulated by the cytomegalovirus or up regulated? Please clarify?

Claims 20, and 21 are vague and indefinite the intended oligonucleotide or kinases should be identified by a specific sequence identification number. In addition, the claims are vague and indefinite since no conditions are present which would allow the appropriate screening to take place. How can one know whether or not a compound is useful when no direction is given? Is the compound suppose to kill cells or bind the kinase or inhibit its expression?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zhu et al (PNAS USA, 1998, Vol. 95, pp. 14470-14475).

The claims are directed to utilizing cellular expression of kinase Rip in determining compounds that maybe useful in treating cytomegalovirus. Zhu et al already provided ample teaching in the above cited article about the method and assay of utilizing Rip kinase in

determination of cytomegalovirus infection. Zhu et al taught that when cells are infected with cytomegalovirus certain genes such as Rip kinase is up regulated and detection of such activity would lend itself in detecting compounds that would be useful in treating cytomegalovirus infection (see the entire document, especially the abstract, and Table 1, page 14472, bottom of left column).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gingeras et al (WO 00/011218 A1).

The above cited reference anticipates the now claimed invention. Gingeras et al taught the method and assay of utilizing Rip kinase in determination of cytomegalovirus infection and screening for compounds (see the abstract, see pages 38-42, and all claims especially claim 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baichwall et al (US Patent No. 6,211,337 B1).

The claims and teaching of the above cited art anticipates the now claimed invention. The method and assay disclosed in above cited patent clearly anticipates the now claimed invention.

Applicants' invention is directed in looking at and are targeting "activity" of RIP kinase. The above cited patent also directed a method that measured interaction of RIP. Baichwall et al taught

and claimed utilization of Rip kinase in screening for an agent which would target RIP kinase (see claim 3, and column 4, lines 8-27). Applicants are reminded that the in-house sequence search has reveled SEQ ID NO: 2 as disclosed by Baichwall et al being 100% identical to the SEQ ID NO: 16 that is being utilized in the method and assay of now claimed invention.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. R. Salimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-7136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 Am to 6:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3014, or (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

A. R. Salimi

7/18/2003

As of the life of